

Part 10

EPA- RG- 2014-004884



T 918  
V.1**AIR, PESTICIDES, AND TOXICS  
6<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR RECORDS CENTER  
INFILING / NEW FILE FORM**New File ☐

OR

Infiling ☒

Choose from the file types below:

**AIR FACILITY:**

- ☐ AR - Acid Rain  
☐ CB - Confidential Business  
☐ CO - Compliance  
☐ EN - \*\*Enforcement  
☐ GE - General  
☒ PE - Permit  
☐ RA - Regulatory Applicability  
☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

**TSCA:**

- ☐ AH - Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act  
☐ AS or AW - Asbestos or Asbestos Worker Protection  
☐ CB - Confidential  
☐ FI - Site Specific  
☐ FO - Non Site Specific  
☐ IM - \*\*Section 5 & 8  
☐ LB - \*\*Lead  
☐ PC - \*\*PCB

\*\* Extension of file type (if needed): ☐ ES - Enforcement Sensitive  
☐ DO - Docket Number

**EPCRA/SARA (☐)****FIFRA (☐)***EPA Registry I.D.*

Current FRS Number:  
(Found in EnviroFacts)

**110000462918**

Facility Name &amp; Physical Address:

**Kaneka North America LLC****6161 Underwood Rd.****Pasadena, TX. 77507**

Remarks:

**aka: Kaneka Texas Corp.**

Requestor's Name &amp; Phone Number:

**Les Kovac****X6733**

### **Program Management Files:**

A current listing of these file types and their numeric codes are located in a blue binder on the top shelf of the "APT" file cabinet in the 9<sup>th</sup> Floor Records Center.

AIRS - Aerometric Information Retrieval System

ATO - Air Toxics

EMR - Emergency Response

ENF - Enforcement -

ENF 5-5-1 requires Month and Fiscal Year accompany file code.

ENF 5-6-5 requires Fiscal Year accompany file code.

EXR - External Relations

GEO - Geographical Summary Data

GRA - Grants Administration

The majority of this section requires the Fiscal Year accompany file code.

Project Officer Grants require the Grant number and Fiscal Year accompany file code.

LAB - Laboratory Support

LBP - Lead Based Paint

LBP 12-3 requires the facility name in which document refers to be either highlighted or circled on the top page.

LEL - Legal and Legislative

MON - Monitoring NES - National Emission Standards

NSP - New Source Performance

NSR - New Source Review

OPP - Operating Permits Program

PEA - Permits Administration Program

PES - Pesticides

PLA - Planning

PUA - Public Affairs

RAD - Radiation

RGR - Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - Regulatory Development

RDE - Research and Development

REG - Registration

SIP - State Implementation Plan

SUP - Superfund

TITL - Title III

TSC - Toxic Substance Control

TSC 1-1-4 requires the facility name in which document refers to be either highlighted or circled on the top page.

TSU - Technical Support

VRP - Voluntary Reduction Program



Kaneka North America LLC

RECEIVED

6161 Underwood Road  
Pasadena, TX 77507

13 JAN 30 PM 4: 26

January 25, 2013

AIR PERMITS SECTION  
6PD-R

CERTIFIED MAIL # 7011 0110 0002 1144 9569

Whitney Hill

Air Permit Initial Review Team

Texas Commission On Environmental Quality

MC161, P.O. Box 13087

Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Minor Revision Application  
Kaneka North America, LLC (KNA)  
Account No. HG-1065-E  
Federal Operating Permit No. O-3394

Dear Ms. Hill:

Enclosed you will find forms to be included with the previously submitted minor revision application packages (Project Nos. 18905 and 18941). As you discussed in a telephone conversation with Carl Schnitz on January 24, 2013, the enclosed changes are to be included in the next working draft permit issued to KNA for review.

The following forms are included in this submittal:

1. OP-REQ1 – Page 4 of the OP-REQ1 is being submitted to correct the representation regarding VOC wastewater streams. KNA is an affected source, however, the waste streams at Kaneka MS Polymer Division are not affected streams.
2. OP-MON forms are being submitted to adjust the periodic monitoring language regarding the storage tank liquid level. Also, the periodic monitoring requirement for tank T-S503 has been changed to reflect the modification of the tank to meet the submerged fill pipe standard.
3. OP-ACPS is being submitted to remove the compliance schedule currently in FOP O-3394 for requirements related to MACT Subpart FFFF. All items on the schedule are complete and the compliance schedule is no longer needed.

As discussed in the above referenced telephone conversation, an OP-CRO1 will be submitted upon request. If you have any questions about the submittal, please contact me via e-mail at Katherine.riemann@kaneka.com or by telephone at (281) 474-1887.

Sincerely,

Katherine Riemann  
Air Compliance Superintendent  
Kaneka North America, LLC



11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

11-10-10

cc: Air Program Manager  
TCEQ  
Region 12  
5425 Polk, Suite H  
Houston, Texas 77027-1423

7011 0110 0002 1144 9545

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6  
Air Permits Section (6PD-R)  
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200  
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

7011 0110 0002 1144 9552



1. The first part of the document

is a brief introduction to the

subject matter.

The second part of the document

is a detailed description of the

methodology used in the study.

The third part of the document

is a discussion of the results of the

study.

The fourth part of the document

is a conclusion and a list of

references.

The fifth part of the document

is an appendix containing

additional information.

The sixth part of the document

is a bibliography of the

sources used in the study.

The seventh part of the document

is a list of the authors' names.

The eighth part of the document

is a list of the titles of the

articles or books cited in the

text.

The ninth part of the document

is a list of the names of the

institutions or organizations

where the study was conducted.

The tenth part of the document

is a list of the names of the

people who assisted in the study.

The eleventh part of the document

is a list of the names of the

people who reviewed the document.

The twelfth part of the document

is a list of the names of the

people who approved the document.

The thirteenth part of the document

is a list of the names of the

people who signed the document.

The fourteenth part of the document

is a list of the names of the

people who filed the document.

2. The second part of the document

is a brief introduction to the

subject matter.

The third part of the document

is a detailed description of the

methodology used in the study.

The fourth part of the document

is a discussion of the results of the

study.

The fifth part of the document

is a conclusion and a list of

references.

The sixth part of the document

is an appendix containing

additional information.

The seventh part of the document

is a bibliography of the

sources used in the study.

The eighth part of the document

is a list of the authors' names.

The ninth part of the document

is a list of the titles of the

articles or books cited in the

text.

The tenth part of the document

is a list of the names of the

institutions or organizations

where the study was conducted.

The eleventh part of the document

is a list of the names of the

people who assisted in the study.

The twelfth part of the document

is a list of the names of the

people who reviewed the document.

The thirteenth part of the document

is a list of the names of the

people who approved the document.

The fourteenth part of the document

is a list of the names of the

people who signed the document.

The fifteenth part of the document

is a list of the names of the

people who filed the document.



**Form OP-REQ1**

**Application Area-Wide Applicability and General Information**



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
LIBRARY



**Texas Commission on Environmental Quality**  
**Application Area-Wide Applicability Determinations and General Information**  
**Form OP-REQ1 (Page 4)**  
**Federal Operating Permit Program**

Date: 1/25/2013	RN No.: RN100218841	Permit No.: 03394
Area Name: Kaneka MS Polymer Division		

*For SOP applications, answer ALL questions unless otherwise directed.*

◆ *For GOP applications, answer ONLY these questions unless otherwise directed.*

<b>III. TITLE 30 TAC CHAPTER 115 - CONTROL OF AIR POLLUTION FROM VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (VOCs) (continued)</b>	
<b>C. Industrial Wastewater</b>	
1. The application area includes affected VOC wastewater streams of an affected source category, as defined in 30 TAC § 115.140. <i>If the response to Question III.C.1 is "NO" or "N/A", go to Section III.D.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. The application area is located at a petroleum refinery in the Beaumont/Port Arthur or Houston/Galveston/Brazoria area. <i>If the response to Question III.C.2 is "YES" and the refinery is in the Beaumont/Port Arthur area, go to Section III.D.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
3. The application area is complying with the provisions of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart G, as an alternative to complying with this division (relating to Industrial Wastewater). <i>If the response to Question III.C.3 is "YES", go to Section III.D.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
4. The application area is located at a plant with an annual VOC loading in wastewater, as determined in accordance with 30 TAC § 115.148, less than or equal to 10 Mg (11.03 tons). <i>If the response to Question III.C.4 is "YES", go to Section III.D.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
5. The application area includes wastewater drains, junction boxes, lift stations, or weirs that are subject to the control requirements of 30 TAC § 115.142(1).	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
6. The application area includes wastewater drains, junction boxes, lift stations, or weirs that handle streams chosen for exemption under 30 TAC § 115.147(2).	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
7. The application area includes wastewater drains, junction boxes, lift stations, or weirs that have an executive director approved exemption under 30 TAC § 115.147(4).	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
<b>D. Loading and Unloading of VOCs</b>	
◆ 1. The application area includes VOC loading operations.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
◆ 2. The application area includes VOC transport vessel unloading operations. <i>For GOP applications, if the responses to Questions III.D.1 - D.2, are "NO", go to Section III.E.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO



**Form OP-MON**  
**Monitoring Requirements**





**Monitoring Requirements  
Form OP-MON (Page 2) Federal  
Operating Permit Program**

Table 1c: CAM/PM Case-By-Case Additions

<b>I. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION</b>		
A. Account No.: <b>HG-1065-E</b>	B. RN No.: <b>100218841</b>	C. CN No.: <b>604059352</b>
D. Permit No.: <b>03394</b>	E. Project No.:	F. Area Name: <b>Kaneka MS Polymer Division</b>
G. Company Name: <b>Kaneka North America LLC</b>		
<b>II. UNIT/EMISSION POINT/GROUP/PROCESS INFORMATION</b>		
A. Revision No.:	B. Unit/EPN/Group/Process ID No.: <b>T-S106</b>	C. Applicable Form: <b>OP-UA3, and OP-UA15</b>
<b>III. APPLICABLE REGULATORY REQUIREMENT</b>		
A. Name: <b>Chapter 115</b>		B. SOP Index No.:
C. Pollutant: <b>VOC</b>	D. Main Standard: <b>115.112</b>	
E. Monitoring Type: <b>PM</b>		F. Unit Size: <b>Small</b>
G. Deviation Limit: <b>Minimum tank level = 4% of tank capacity except during maintenance of the tank</b>		
<b>IV. CONTROL DEVICE INFORMATION</b>		
A. Device ID No.: <b>N/A</b>		B. Device Type: <b>OTH</b>
<b>V. CAM CASE-BY-CASE</b>		
A. Indicator:	B. Minimum Frequency:	C. Averaging Period:
D. QA/QC Procedures:		
E. Verification Procedures:		
F. Representative Data:		
<b>VI. PERIODIC MONITORING CASE-BY-CASE</b>		
A. Indicator: <b>Measured tank level</b>	B. Minimum Frequency: <b>Continuous</b>	C. Averaging Period: <b>Daily</b>
<b>D. Periodic Monitoring Text:</b> The submerged fill pipe used to control emissions from this tank reaches to 20 inches above the actual bottom of the tank. The tank utilizes an interlock system which prevents the tank from emptying below 5% of total capacity of the tank. At this level the liquid in the tank is at 15 inches above the bottom of the tank. This meets the control requirement by placing the fill pipe at 5 inches from the effective bottom of the tank. The interlock system can only be overridden manually and this would only occur during maintenance of the tank. Periodic monitoring of the tank level to verify that the interlock system is working demonstrates proper operation of the control device (submerged fill pipe).  The level instrument readings are monitored by the DCS continuously and have alarms set to alert operators if the readings get close to 4% so that action can be taken before the interlock is activated. Furthermore, the readings are recorded by the plant data historian. MS Polymers division is proposing to maintain the level instrumentation such that it operates 95% of the time to ensure compliance with the standard. All occurrences of a tank level below 4% which do not occur during maintenance of the tank will be reported on the semi-annual deviation report.  The readings of tank level stored in the data historian will be maintained for a period of five years.		







**Monitoring Requirements  
Form OP-MON (Page 2) Federal  
Operating Permit Program**

Table 1c: CAM/PM Case-By-Case Additions

<b>I. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION</b>		
A. Account No.: <b>HG-1065-E</b>	B. RN No.: <b>100218841</b>	C. CN No.: <b>604059352</b>
D. Permit No.: <b>03394</b>	E. Project No.:	F. Area Name: <b>Kaneka MS Polymer Division</b>
G. Company Name: <b>Kaneka North America LLC</b>		
<b>II. UNIT/EMISSION POINT/GROUP/PROCESS INFORMATION</b>		
A. Revision No.:	B. Unit/EPN/Group/Process ID No.: <b>T-S107</b>	C. Applicable Form: <b>OP-UA3, and OP-UA15</b>
<b>III. APPLICABLE REGULATORY REQUIREMENT</b>		
A. Name: <b>Chapter 115</b>		B. SOP Index No.:
C. Pollutant: <b>VOC</b>	D. Main Standard: <b>115.112</b>	
E. Monitoring Type: <b>PM</b>		F. Unit Size: <b>Small</b>
G. Deviation Limit: <b>Minimum tank level = 4% of tank capacity except during maintenance of the tank</b>		
<b>IV. CONTROL DEVICE INFORMATION</b>		
A. Device ID No.: <b>N/A</b>		B. Device Type: <b>OTH</b>
<b>V. CAM CASE-BY-CASE</b>		
A. Indicator:	B. Minimum Frequency:	C. Averaging Period:
D. QA/QC Procedures:		
E. Verification Procedures:		
F. Representative Data:		
<b>VI. PERIODIC MONITORING CASE-BY-CASE</b>		
A. Indicator: <b>Measured tank level</b>	B. Minimum Frequency: <b>Continuous</b>	C. Averaging Period: <b>Daily</b>
D. Periodic Monitoring Text: <b>The submerged fill pipe used to control emissions from this tank reaches to 20 inches above the actual bottom of the tank. The tank utilizes an interlock system which prevents the tank from emptying below 5% of total capacity of the tank. At this level the liquid in the tank is at 15 inches above the bottom of the tank. This meets the control requirement by placing the fill pipe at 5 inches from the effective bottom of the tank. The interlock system can only be overridden manually and this would only occur during maintenance of the tank. Periodic monitoring of the tank level to verify that the interlock system is working demonstrates proper operation of the control device (submerged fill pipe).</b>  <b>The level instrument readings are monitored by the DCS continuously and have alarms set to alert operators if the readings get close to 4% so that action can be taken before the interlock is activated. Furthermore, the readings are recorded by the plant data historian. MS Polymers division is proposing to maintain the level instrumentation such that it operates 95% of the time to ensure compliance with the standard. All occurrences of a tank level below 4% which do not occur during maintenance of the tank will be reported on the semi-annual deviation report.</b>  <b>The readings of tank level stored in the data historian will be maintained for a period of five years.</b>		





**Monitoring Requirements  
Form OP-MON (Page 2) Federal  
Operating Permit Program**

**Table 1c: CAM/PM Case-By-Case Additions**

<b>I. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION</b>		
A. Account No.: <b>HG-1065-E</b>	B. RN No.: <b>100218841</b>	C. CN No.: <b>604059352</b>
D. Permit No.: <b>03394</b>	E. Project No.:	F. Area Name: <b>Kaneka MS Polymer Division</b>
G. Company Name: <b>Kaneka North America LLC</b>		
<b>II. UNIT/EMISSION POINT/GROUP/PROCESS INFORMATION</b>		
A. Revision No.:	B. Unit/EPN/Group/Process ID No.: <b>T-S713</b>	C. Applicable Form: <b>OP-UA3, and OP-UA15</b>
<b>III. APPLICABLE REGULATORY REQUIREMENT</b>		
A. Name: <b>Chapter 115</b>		B. SOP Index No.:
C. Pollutant: <b>VOC</b>	D. Main Standard: <b>115.112</b>	
E. Monitoring Type: <b>PM</b>		F. Unit Size: <b>Small</b>
G. Deviation Limit: <b>Minimum tank level = 4% of tank capacity except during maintenance of the tank</b>		
<b>IV. CONTROL DEVICE INFORMATION</b>		
A. Device ID No.: <b>N/A</b>		B. Device Type: <b>OTH</b>
<b>V. CAM CASE-BY-CASE</b>		
A. Indicator:	B. Minimum Frequency:	C. Averaging Period:
D. QA/QC Procedures:		
E. Verification Procedures:		
F. Representative Data:		
<b>VI. PERIODIC MONITORING CASE-BY-CASE</b>		
A. Indicator: <b>Measured tank level</b>	B. Minimum Frequency: <b>Continuous</b>	C. Averaging Period: <b>Daily</b>
<b>D. Periodic Monitoring Text:</b> The submerged fill pipe used to control emissions from this tank reaches to 20 inches above the actual bottom of the tank. The tank utilizes an interlock system which prevents the tank from emptying below 5% of total capacity of the tank. At this level the liquid in the tank is at 15 inches above the bottom of the tank. This meets the control requirement by placing the fill pipe at 5 inches from the effective bottom of the tank. The interlock system can only be overridden manually and this would only occur during maintenance of the tank. Periodic monitoring of the tank level to verify that the interlock system is working demonstrates proper operation of the control device (submerged fill pipe).  The level instrument readings are monitored by the DCS continuously and have alarms set to alert operators if the readings get close to 4% so that action can be taken before the interlock is activated. Furthermore, the readings are recorded by the plant data historian. MS Polymers division is proposing to maintain the level instrumentation such that it operates 95% of the time to ensure compliance with the standard. All occurrences of a tank level below 4% which do not occur during maintenance of the tank will be reported on the semi-annual deviation report.  The readings of tank level stored in the data historian will be maintained for a period of five years.		





**Monitoring Requirements  
Form OP-MON (Page 1) Federal  
Operating Permit Program**

Table 1a: CAM/PM Additions

<b>I. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION</b>		
A. Account No.: HG-1065-E		B. RN No.: 100218841
		C. CN No.: 604059352
D. Permit No.: O3394		E. Project No.:
		F. Area Name: Kaneka MS Polymer Division
G. Company Name: Kaneka North America LLC		
<b>II. UNIT/EMISSION POINT/GROUP/PROCESS INFORMATION</b>		
A. Revision No.:	B. Unit/EPN/Group/Process ID No.: T-S503	C. Applicable Form: OP-UA3 and OP-UA15
<b>III. APPLICABLE REGULATORY REQUIREMENT</b>		
A. Name: Chapter 115		B. SOP/GOP Index No.:
C. Pollutant: VOC	D. Main Standard: 115.112	
<b>IV. TITLE V MONITORING INFORMATION</b>		
A. Monitoring Type: PM	B. Unit Size: SMALL	C. CAM/PM Option No.: PM-V-060
D. Deviation Limit:  N/A		
E. CAM/PM Option No		
F. Deviation Limit:		
<b>V. CONTROL DEVICE INFORMATION</b>		
A. Device ID No.: N/A	B. Device Type: OTH	



**Form OP-ACPS**  
**Application Compliance Plan and Schedule**



1. The first part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who were present at the meeting. The names are listed in alphabetical order.





**Texas Commission on Environmental Quality**  
**Form OP-ACPS**  
**Application Compliance Plan and Schedule**

<b>Date:</b> 1/25/2013	<b>Regulated Entity No.:</b> RN100218841	<b>Permit No.:</b> O-3394
<b>Company Name:</b> Kaneka North America LLC		<b>Area Name:</b> Kaneka MS Polymer Division

- Part 1 of this form must be submitted with all initial FOP applications and renewal applications.
- The Responsible Official must use Form OP-CRO1 (Certification by Responsible Official) to certify information contained in this form in accordance with 30 TAC § 122.132(e)(9).

**Part 1**

<b>A.</b>	<b>Compliance Plan — Future Activity Committal Statement</b>
<p>The <i>Responsible Official</i> commits, utilizing reasonable effort, to the following: As the responsible official it is my intent that all emission units shall continue to be in compliance with all applicable requirements they are currently in compliance with, and all emission units shall be in compliance by the compliance dates with any applicable requirements that become effective during the permit term.</p>	

<b>B.</b>	<b>Compliance Certification — Statement for Units in Compliance*</b> (Indicate response by entering an "X" in the appropriate column)	
1.	With the exception of those emission units listed in the Compliance Schedule section of this form (Part 2, below), and based, at minimum, on the compliance method specified in the associated applicable requirements, are all emission units addressed in this application in compliance with all their respective applicable requirements as identified in this application?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
2.	Are there any non-compliance situations addressed in the Compliance Schedule Section of this form (Part 2)?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
3.	If the response to Item B.2, above, is "Yes," indicate the total number of Part 2 attachments included in this submittal. <i>(For reference only)</i>	
<p>* For Site Operating Permits (SOPs), the complete application should be consulted for applicable requirements and their corresponding emission units when assessing compliance status. For General Operating Permits (GOPs), the application documentation, particularly Form OP-REQ1 should be consulted as well as the requirements contained in the appropriate General Permits portion of 30 TAC Chapter 122. Compliance should be assessed based, at a minimum, on the required monitoring, testing, record keeping, and/or reporting requirements, as appropriate, associated with the applicable requirement in question.</p>		

